

## **APPENDIX B: DEFINITIONS AND METHODS OF COLLECTION**

**Version 2 – June 2009**

### **Introduction**

This paper provides detailed definitions of each of the indicators presented in the PEACE III Operational Programme, including

- Indicator type
- Target
- Sub-indicators (if they exist)
- Sub-division (if required)
- Unit of measurement
- Timeframe of availability – how often the information should be collected
- Data source – who is responsible for collecting the data
- Verification by – who is responsible for verifying the data collected

The following paragraphs provide some additional information about the collection methods and the storage of the information on the System 2007 Database, as well as some general definitions.

### **Application and Monitoring Database**

The application and monitoring database for the 2007-13 round of EU Programmes is known as System 2007. System 2007 will hold information on five EU Programmes: PEACE III, INTERREG IVA, the Competitiveness and Employment ERDF Programme and ESF Programme and the Rural Development Programme. The database, which is being designed by RMA Systems, is a web-based system which will allow:

- Applications to be made online
- Appraisal information to be recorded
- Letters of offer/rejection to be issued
- Financial monitoring information to be recorded and
- Non-financial monitoring information to be recorded.

The database design includes a number of standard reports which can be used to report on the information held, while a report writing facility allows ad hoc reports to be produced.

The indicators presented in the Peace III Operational Programme are available on System 2007. When the Letter of Offer is being agreed for each project, indicators will be selected and targets for achievement set. These will be used to assess the performance of the project throughout its lifetime. Every six months the project will be required to provide updates on their indicators to the Lead Partner, who will be responsible for inputting the information to the database.

### **Equality Monitoring Form**

A number of the indicators listed in the PEACE III Operational Programme require information which can be collected via the Equality Monitoring Form. The form and associated guidance have been developed and agreed by the Equality Working Group. For projects where individual participants are readily identified, each participant will be asked to complete an Equality Monitoring Form. The form, with the only identifier being the application reference number, will be returned in a pre-paid envelope to the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA). Analysis of the information collected from the forms will be carried out in such a way as to ensure confidentiality.

### **Attitudinal Survey**

The Attitudinal Survey was first conducted in 2004 to allow reporting on two PEACE II Programme level indicators, namely:

- Attitudinal change amongst participants over time; and
- Attitudinal differences amongst project participants compared with the NI population.

The questionnaire used for the Survey was based on the work of Ed Cairns (University of Ulster) and Miles Hewstone (Oxford University). The Distinctiveness Working Group (DWG) of the Peace II Monitoring Committee

amended the questionnaire to ensure it met the requirements for reporting on the indicators. The survey includes questions, which can be grouped under the titles: Contact, Trust and Relations. The research involved a survey of programme participants alongside a survey of the wider population of the PEACE II eligible region, to act as the control group. A second survey was conducted in 2007.

A number of the indicators in the PEACE III Operational Programme require information from the Attitudinal Survey. These are:

- Changes in attitudes towards cross-community and cross-border activities
- Increase in the proportion of beneficiaries who have contacts/recognized friends in the other community
- Improved levels of trust and tolerance among Programme beneficiaries, decreased levels of prejudice

These indicators will use the 2007 survey results as a benchmark. It is intended that the Attitudinal Survey will be conducted in 2010 and 2013.

## **General Definitions**

### Indicator<sup>1</sup>

The measurement of an objective to be met, a resource mobilised, an effect obtained, a gauge of quality or a context variable. An indicator should be made up by a definition, a value and a measurement unit. For the purposes of EU Structural Funds there are four types of indicators – input, outputs, results and impacts.

- Inputs refer to the budget allocated to each level of the assistance. These financial indicators are used to monitor progress in terms of the commitment and payment of the funds available for any operation, measure or programme in relation to its eligible cost.

---

<sup>1</sup> European Commission, (2006): The New Programming Period 2007-13, Indicative Guidelines on Evaluation Methods: Monitoring and Evaluation Indicators, Working Document No. 2

- Outputs relate to activity. They are measured in physical or monetary units (e.g. length of road constructed, number of firms financially supported, etc.).
- Results relate to the direct and immediate effect on direct beneficiaries brought about by a programme. They provide information on changes to, for example, the behaviour, capacity or performance of beneficiaries. They can be physical (reduction in journey times, number of successful trainees, etc.) or financial (leverage of private sector resources, decrease in transportation costs, etc.).
- Impacts refer to the consequences of the programme beyond the immediate effects. There are two types of impact: specific impacts are those effects occurring after a certain lapse of time but which are directly linked to the action take and the direct beneficiaries; while global impacts are longer-term effects affecting a wider population and may not be capable of being disentangled from wider effects beyond the particular Programme.

Any analysis of outputs, results and impacts will be within the context of the inputs.

### Beneficiary<sup>2</sup>

For the purposes of the EU Structural funds a beneficiary has been defined in Regulation as an operator, body or firm, whether public or private, responsible of initiating, for initiating and implementing, operations. For PEACE III the beneficiary will be the Lead Partner.

### Participant

For the purposes of PEACE III, a participant is defined as someone who

- is registered with an operation or project, or
- attends a structured programme of events on an ongoing basis.

If an individual attends more than one event within a single operation they should be counted as *one* participant; however, if an individual attends two or

---

<sup>2</sup> Council Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 of 11 July 2006, Article 2

more events which are not part of a single operation, they should be counted for *each* operation.

For locally-led operations under Priority 1, Theme 1, the identification of the participant will be at the lower project level, i.e. if an individual attends more than one event within a single project they should be counted as *one* participant; however, if an individual attends two or more events which are not part of a single project, they should be counted for *each* project.

Each participant should be asked to complete an Equality Monitoring form.

#### Attendee

For the purposes of PEACE III an attendee is defined as someone who attends a one-off event. Attendees should not be asked to complete an Equality Monitoring Form.

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.1**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Programmes developed and implemented</b>
<b>Target</b>	30
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Programmes are defined as either <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• multi-annual plans drawn up by local authorities in Northern Ireland and the Border Region, or</li><li>• regional projects</li></ul>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	13 local authority led programmes
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partners
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.2**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Events that address sectarianism and racism or deal with conflict resolution</b>
<b>Target</b>	60
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Events – to be defined further when more information is available on the types of activities to be funded
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Number of events addressing sectarianism and racism Number of events dealing with conflict resolution
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partners
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat

## PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS

### PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### 1.3

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Participants attending events</b>
<b>Target</b>	3,000
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>A participant is defined as someone who</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• is registered with an operation or project, or</li> <li>• attends a structured programme of events on an ongoing basis.</li> </ul> <p>Events are those defined in Indicator 1.2.</p> <p>If an individual attends more than one event within a single operation they should be counted as <i>one</i> participant; however, if an individual attends two or more events which are not part of a single operation, they should be counted for <i>each</i> operation.</p> <p>For locally-led operations under this theme, the identification of the participant will be at the lower project level, i.e. if an individual attends more than one event within a single project they should be counted as <i>one</i> participant; however, if an individual attends two or more events which are not part of a single project, they should be counted for <i>each</i> project.</p> <p>Each participant should complete an Equality Monitoring form.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner – Number of participants NISRA – male/female breakdown from EMFs
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority



## PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS

### PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### 1.4

<b>Indicator</b>	Community balance of participation in events
<b>Target</b>	n/a
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Community balance refers to the balance of participants from Protestant and Catholic Community Backgrounds. 'Balance' will be measured at the overall Programme level.  Events are those defined in Indicator 1.2.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Timing of first analysis will be subject to receiving sufficient forms – following that updated six monthly
<b>Source</b>	NISRA – Equality Monitoring Forms
<b>Verified by</b>	Managing Authority

## PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS

### PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### 1.5

<b>Indicator</b>	Participants from ethnic minority groups
<b>Target</b>	5%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Ethnic minority groups will be defined as those individuals who: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• are from an ethnic group other than White, or</li><li>• are an Irish Traveller</li></ul> Participants at the events defined in Indicator 1.2.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Timing of first analysis will be subject to receiving sufficient forms – following that updated six monthly
<b>Source</b>	NISRA – Equality Monitoring Forms
<b>Verified by</b>	Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.6**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Participants who are young people</b>
<b>Target</b>	40%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Young people are defined as those aged under 25. Participants at the events defined in Indicator 1.2.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Timing of first analysis will be subject to receiving sufficient forms – following that updated six monthly
<b>Source</b>	NISRA – Equality Monitoring Forms
<b>Verified by</b>	Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.7**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Changes in attitudes towards cross-community and cross-border activities</b>
<b>Target</b>	Improvement in attitudes
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following questions from the Attitudinal Survey:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How willing are you to participate in cross-community activities?</li><li>• Are you more willing or less willing to participate in cross-community activities than 5 years ago?</li><li>• How willing are you to participate in cross-border activities?</li><li>• Are you more willing or less willing to participate in cross-border activities than you were 5 years ago?</li></ul> <p>Results presented will be based on the responses of participants from this theme only.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Possibly Protestant/Catholic Possibly Male/Female Dependent on sample sizes
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	2007 (Baseline based on Peace II) 2010 2013
<b>Source</b>	NISRA – Attitudinal Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.8**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Proportion of people who have contacts/recognised friends in the other community</b>
<b>Target or direction of change</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following questions from the Attitudinal Survey:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• About how many of your <u>friends</u> are from the other community?</li> <li>• How often do you <u>visit</u> friends who are from the other community in their home?</li> <li>• And how often do you have friends who are from the other community <u>to your home</u>?</li> </ul> <p>Results presented will be based on the responses of participants from this theme only.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Possibly Protestant/Catholic Possibly Male/Female Dependent on sample sizes
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	2007 (Baseline based on Peace II) 2010 2013
<b>Source</b>	NISRA – Attitudinal Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.9**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Levels of trust and tolerance among Programme beneficiaries, decreased levels of prejudice</b>
<b>Target</b>	Improved
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following questions from the Attitudinal Survey:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you think most members of the other community would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance, or would they try to be fair?</li> <li>• Would you say that most of the time members of the other community try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?</li> <li>• Generally speaking, would you say that most members of the other community can be trusted or that you can't be too careful with people?</li> <li>• Would you mind or not mind if a suitably qualified person from the other community were appointed as your <u>boss</u>?</li> <li>• Would you mind or not mind if one of your close relatives were to <u>marry</u> a person from the other community?</li> <li>• If you required medical treatment, would you mind or not if your doctor was from the other community?</li> <li>• If you owned a house or land, would you mind or not selling it to someone from the other community?</li> <li>• If you were purchasing goods or services, would you mind or not mind whether the business from which you were buying these was owned by a person from the other community?</li> <li>• Would you mind or not mind sending your child to a mixed school?</li> <li>• Would you mind or not mind if a person from the other community moved into the area where you live?</li> </ul> <p>Results presented will be based on the responses of participants from this theme only.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	<p>Possibly Protestant/Catholic          Possibly Male/Female          Dependent on sample sizes</p>

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Levels of trust and tolerance among Programme beneficiaries, decreased levels of prejudice</b>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	2007 (Baseline based on Peace II) 2010 2013
<b>Source</b>	NISRA – Attitudinal Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 1: Building positive relations at the local level

#### **1.10**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage of adults who think relations between Protestants and Catholics are better than they were five years ago</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following questions from the Northern Ireland Life &amp; Times Survey:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What about relations between Protestants and Catholics? Would you say they are better than they were 5 years ago, worse, or about the same now as then?</li></ul>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Protestant Catholic
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual
<b>Source</b>	Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	-



## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.1**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>People in receipt of Trauma Counselling/Service</b>
<b>Target</b>	1,000
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of people in receipt of trauma counselling.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.2**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Events assisting victims and survivors</b>
<b>Target</b>	50
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>Events are formal, organised gatherings of at least 10 people (participants or attendees). Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Conferences</li><li>• celebrations,</li><li>• Information dissemination</li></ul> <p>Excluded from events are training, committee meetings, workshops.</p> <p>These events are distinct from the conflict resolution workshops defined by Indicator 2.4.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.3**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Participants at events assisting victims and survivors</b>
<b>Target</b>	2,000
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>Number of participants at the events described in Indicator 2.2.</p> <p>A participant is defined as someone who</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• is registered with an operation or project, or</li> <li>• attends a structured programme of events on an ongoing basis.</li> </ul> <p>If an individual attends more than one event within a single operation they should be counted as <i>one</i> participant; however, if an individual attends two or more events which are not part of a single operation, they should be counted for <i>each</i> operation.</p> <p>Each participant should complete an Equality Monitoring form.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.4**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Number of conflict resolution workshops</b>
<b>Target</b>	20
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Conflict resolution workshops are workshops which will facilitate and explore the wide range of experiences of the conflict faced by different stakeholders in Northern Ireland and the Border Region.  If a series/programme of workshops take place on the same theme these will only be counted as 1.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS

### PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### 2.5

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Participants at conflict resolution workshops</b>
<b>Target</b>	200
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	<p>Number of participants at the conflict resolution workshops from Indicator 2.4.</p> <p>A participant is defined as someone who</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• is registered with an operation or project, or</li><li>• attends a structured programme of events on an ongoing basis.</li></ul> <p>If an individual attends more than one event within a single operation they should be counted as <i>one</i> participant; however, if an individual attends two or more events which are not part of a single operation, they should be counted for <i>each</i> operation.</p> <p>Each participant should complete an Equality Monitoring form.</p>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.6**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Recipients of trauma counselling feel they are better able to cope</b>
<b>Target</b>	75%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Each person in receipt of trauma counselling (Indicator 2.1) will be asked to complete a short questionnaire to assess the quality of the counselling received. This questionnaire will include, among other questions, a question or series of questions on their ability to cope.  <i>Preference would be for before and after questionnaires/focus groups</i>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	At end of project
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.7**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Participants believe they are more able to describe what it is like for the other community</b>
<b>Target</b>	75%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Each person participating in the Programme will be asked to complete a short questionnaire to assess the quality of the event. This questionnaire will include, among other questions, a question or series of questions on their understanding of the conflict from the perspective of the other community.  <i>Preference would be for before and after questionnaires/focus groups</i>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	At end of project
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.8**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Change in perception amongst Programme beneficiaries that violence is not a legitimate or effective means of resolving conflict</b>
<b>Target</b>	Improvement
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Each person attending an event (Indicator 2.2) will be asked to complete a short questionnaire to assess the quality of the event. This questionnaire will include, among other questions, a question or series of questions on their view of the use of violence as a means to resolve conflict.  <i>Preference would be for before and after questionnaires/focus groups</i>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

**IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THIS INDICATOR IS REMOVED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCESS.**



## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 1: RECONCILING COMMUNITIES**

Theme 2: Acknowledging and dealing with the past

#### **2.9**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Change in awareness of the past and/or roles in the conflict among Programme participants</b>
<b>Target</b>	Improvement
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Each participant will be asked a series of questions to determine the change in their awareness of the past and/or the roles in the conflict.  <i>Preference would be for before and after questionnaires/focus groups</i>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	At the end of the project
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Border Action/Community Relations Council Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### **3.1**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Shared public environments created or improved through cross-community regeneration projects</b>
<b>Target</b>	10
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Urban, rural and border areas that appear derelict, segregated, underused, threatening and/or unwelcoming transformed into shared spaces.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	Evidence of a perception of ownership of these spaces by all communities.
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS

### PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### 3.2

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Usage of the shared public environments</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Proportion of people in the local community who use the public space
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Usage recorded on database <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>before</i> project takes place, and</li><li>• <i>after</i> project is completed</li></ul>
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### ***ADDITIONAL ECONOMIC INDICATORS TO BE INCLUDED WHEN PROJECTS ARE KNOWN***

<b>Indicator</b>	
<b>Target</b>	
<b>Indicator type</b>	
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	
<b>Subdivision</b>	
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### **3.3**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Environments supported are perceived as being useful shared spaces</b>
<b>Target</b>	
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Surveys of local communities will be carried out before project commences and following project completion to assess the usefulness of public spaces being developed/created.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Proportion of respondents rating the space as useful
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Recorded on the database <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>before</i> project takes place, and</li><li>• <i>after</i> project is completed</li></ul>
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### **3.4**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage of people who think there are fewer Loyalist murals and flags on display than there were 5 years ago</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact (Global)
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following question from the Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Do you think there are <u>more</u> Loyalist murals and flags on display these days than there were five years ago, <u>less</u>, or <u>about the same number</u>?</li></ul>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Protestant Catholic
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual
<b>Source</b>	Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### **3.5**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage of people who think there are fewer Republican murals and flags on display than there were 5 years ago</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact (Global)
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following question from the Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Do you think there are <u>more</u> republican murals and flags on display these days than there were five years ago, <u>less</u>, or <u>about the same number</u>?</li></ul>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Protestant Catholic
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual
<b>Source</b>	Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 1: Creating shared public spaces

#### **3.6**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage of people who think the neighbourhood where they live is a 'neutral space'</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact (Global)
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	This indicator will be measured by analysing responses to the following question from the Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• And thinking of the neighbourhood where you live, would you say that it was a 'neutral space'?</li></ul>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Protestant Catholic
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual
<b>Source</b>	Northern Ireland Life & Times Survey
<b>Verified by</b>	-



## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 2: Key institutional capacities are developed for a shared society

#### **4.1**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Pilot projects of cross-border co-operation between public sector bodies</b>
<b>Target</b>	10
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Pilot innovative service delivery models (at both central and local level) which directly address the issues of segregation, sectarianism and racism. They will include innovative public sector delivery models to promote shared services which have previously been delivered along sectarian lines.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 2: Key institutional capacities are developed for a shared society

#### **4.2**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Research reports disseminated</b>
<b>Target</b>	5
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Research that focuses on promoting innovative best practice and transnational learning in relation to peace, conflict resolution and reconciliation
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 2: Key institutional capacities are developed for a shared society

#### **4.3**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>People benefiting from shared services</b>
<b>Target</b>	tbc
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of people who directly benefit from the pilot projects of cross-border co-operation between public sector bodies
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Male Female
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to database
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 2: Key institutional capacities are developed for a shared society

#### **4.4**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Public servants who can identify positive changes as a result of shared projects</b>
<b>Target</b>	tbc
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of public servants directly involved in implementing shared projects who report positive changes as a result of those projects
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Survey of public servants following completion of project (approx 6 months)
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 2: CONTRIBUTING TO A SHARED SOCIETY**

Theme 2: Key institutional capacities are developed for a shared society

#### **4.5**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Pilot projects go on to become mainstreamed</b>
<b>Target</b>	50%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Proportion of those pilot projects funded under this theme which go on to become mainstreamed either centrally or locally
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage – recorded on database as a <i>number</i> – percentage calculated centrally
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Two years following project completion
<b>Source</b>	Lead Partner
<b>Verified by</b>	Joint Technical Secretariat Managing Authority

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

#### **5.1**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Public launches of the Operational Programme</b>
<b>Target</b>	2
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly update of the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Dissemination activities supported</b>
<b>Target</b>	25
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Activities providing information on the Programme – Types of activities included will be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• updates on progress</li><li>• monitoring and evaluation information to the media and general public.</li></ul>
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Press releases</b>
<b>Target</b>	100
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of press releases issued by SEUPB and the Consortium providing information on PEACE III.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-



## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Hits per month on website</b>
<b>Target</b>	60
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Average number of hits per month on the PEACE III pages of the website
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly update of the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Publicity and information enquiries</b>
<b>Target</b>	200
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of publicity and information enquiries to SEUPB and the Consortium about PEACE III
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly update of the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Overall awareness of the Operational Programme</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Proportion of respondents to the SEUPB survey who are aware of PEACE.
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage points
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Baseline (June 2007) 14% Annual update
<b>Source</b>	SEUPB Communications Team
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 1: Programme information and publicity

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Awareness of the role of the EU in the Operational Programme</b>
<b>Target</b>	Increase
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Proportion of respondents to the SEUPB Survey who were aware of the role of the EU in the Programme
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage points
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Baseline (June 2007) 14% were aware that SEUPB's work involved EU funding  Annual update
<b>Source</b>	SEUPB Communications Team
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 2: Management, monitoring and evaluation of the Programme

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Technical Assistance projects funded</b>
<b>Target</b>	9
<b>Indicator type</b>	Output
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of projects funded under the Technical Assistance Priority
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Six monthly input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 2: Management, monitoring and evaluation of the Programme

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Monitoring reports completed</b>
<b>Target</b>	14
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	One detailed monitoring report produced for each Monitoring Committee meeting
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 2: Management, monitoring and evaluation of the Programme

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Evaluation reports completed</b>
<b>Target</b>	19
<b>Indicator type</b>	Result
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Number of evaluation reports completed on the Programme (including reports from ongoing evaluation activities)
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	Split by <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Overall Programme</li><li>• Thematic</li></ul>
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Number
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-

## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 2: Management, monitoring and evaluation of the Programme

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Reports submitted to the EU Commission comply with regulations</b>
<b>Target</b>	100%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Reports, including Annual Implementation Reports, formally submitted to the Commission complying with EU Regulations
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage – database will store the total number of reports submitted to the Commission, <i>and</i> the number of reports which comply with regulations
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-



## **PEACE III – INDICATOR DEFINITIONS**

### **PRIORITY 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

Theme 2: Management, monitoring and evaluation of the Programme

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Recommendations from evaluation reports responded to by Managing Authority and implemented where appropriate</b>
<b>Target</b>	100%
<b>Indicator type</b>	Impact
<b>Definition of the indicator</b>	Managing Authority will respond to <i>all</i> recommendations in Evaluation Reports, and implement where appropriate
<b>Sub-indicators</b>	-
<b>Subdivision</b>	-
<b>Unit of measurement</b>	Percentage – database will store the total number of recommendations from evaluation reports, <i>and</i> the total number of recommendations to which the Managing Authority has responded.
<b>Timeframe of availability</b>	Annual input to the database
<b>Source</b>	Managing Authority
<b>Verified by</b>	-